

Letter from the Editor

This issue marks the beginning of a quarterly publication schedule for the *Individual Psychologist (IP)*, prompted by the increase in the number of quality manuscripts we have received in the past year. Based on your response, the executive board of NASAP approved this change, and we who are involved in the publication are gratified by the confidence shown in us to meet the more stringent demands of doubling our output. Of course, how this challenge is met will, as always, depend on your continued interest in submitting work for consideration.

This change means there will be a better opportunity for readers to respond to or comment on articles, within a reasonable span of time. It is my sincere hope that we will begin to receive this type of feedback. Ray Corsini's lead article in this issue is intended to stimulate ideas from you. What other alternatives would you have presented to these clients? We would like to hear from you.

The mission of the *IP* has always been to serve the needs of the practitioner. The correspondence I have received since I assumed the editorship has confirmed your preference for "critical incident" articles that present practical ideas, while there has been some criticism of the "academic" tone of some of the work published. The editorial board and I can only present to you the most appropriate material we receive. There are many of you who could share your experiences and creative ideas, but have not. Once again, I ask you to do so, so that we can continue to grow not just in quantity, but also in our ability to contribute to your professional growth.

Udelle Friedland
Editor